

MANDATE

from
Circuit Court of Duval County, Florida

To: The Honorable Judges of County Court

Case No: 16-2023-AP-000014-XXXX-MA

Division: AP-A

On appeal to the Circuit Court of Duval County, Florida, from the judgment of your Court rendered on August 17, 2023, in the action that in your court is captioned:
Findings of Facts and Recommendations of Special Magistrate and Final Order of Approving Recommendations of Special Magistrate. Lee Grant v. City of Jacksonville, Case no: 2023-IN-7679-AXXX, Div.: P

In the Circuit Court of Duval County, Florida, rendered its opinion and judgment, a copy of which is attached and made part hereof on the date recited therein.

You are hereby directed that if any further proceedings in that action in your Court are required by the judgment of the Circuit Court of Duval County, Florida, such requirements be carried out, and that any further proceedings in that action in your court be in accordance with that judgment.

WITNESS the Honorable Charbula, Guy, and Feltel, Judge of the Circuit Court of Duval County, Florida, at Jacksonville, Florida this the 28th day of February, 20 24



JODY PHILLIPS
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

By: [Signature]
Deputy Clerk

FILED

FEB 28 2024

DUVAL CLERK OF COURT

CC: Lee Grant

Filing # 191557696 E-Filed 02/08/2024 01:29:08 PM

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR DUVAL COUNTY, FLORIDA

Case No.: 16-2023-AP-14
Division: AP-A

LEE GRANT
Appellant,

vs.

CITY OF JACKSONVILLE
Appellee.

On appeal from a decision of the County Court, Duval County

For Appellant: Lee Grant

For Appellee: None

Opinion

February 8, 2024

PER CURIAM.

Because there is no transcript, our review is limited to errors of law that are apparent on the face of the judgment. Casella v. Casella, 569 So.2d 848, 849 (Fla. 4th DCA 1990). Having reviewed the limited record before us, we find no errors of law apparent on the face of the order being appealed. Accordingly, the order of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**. See Applegate v. Barnett Bank of Tallahassee, 377 So. 2d 1150, 1152 (Fla. 1979) (explaining that “[i]n appellate proceedings the decision of a trial court has the presumption of correctness and the burden is on the appellant to demonstrate error,” so “the lack of a trial transcript or a proper substitute” results in a record that is “inadequate to demonstrate reversible error” and requires affirmance). See also Rule 9.315(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure (“After service of the initial brief ... the court

may summarily affirm the order to be reviewed if the court finds that no preliminary basis for reversal has been demonstrated.”).

CHARBULA, GUY, and FELTEL J.J. concur.